Use of Ultrasound for Diagnosis of Clavicle Fractures in the Emergency Department
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Abstract

Background: Plain radiography is the method for diagnosing the fracture of the clavicle. The use of US to diagnose clavicle fracture has several advantages when compared to radiography. It could prevent the patient from radiation exposure, especially in vulnerable populations. It may also expedite the diagnosis and decrease the length of stay in the ED. In this study we aimed to discuss the diagnostic success of ultrasonography versus x-ray.

Materials and Method: All patients admitted to the emergency department with a shoulder trauma were investigated for their eligibility to be included in the study. A standardized ultrasonography performed by the same investigator to visualise clavicle from sternal junction through acromial junction. After ultrasonography, plain radiography was performed.

Results: The mean age was 45.53 (min = 18; max = 86; SD = 18.791) years and 72.7% were male. Among all patients, 42 clavicular fractures were detected via graphy and 26 (62%) were seen in males and 57 patients with no clavicle fractures. The sensitivity of US to radiographically detected fracture was 92.86% (95%CI, 80.52% to 98.5%), and the specificity was 98.25% (95% CI = 90.61% to 99.96%). The PPV was 97.5% (84.8% to 99.63%) and the NPV was 94.92% (95% CI = 86.23% to 98.23%).

Conclusions: Ultrasonography is a good alternative for diagnosing clavicle fracture. Future studies should examine the use of ultrasonography as a method for diagnosing of clavicle fracture by emergency physicians with only basic ultrasonographic training.
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1. Introduction

Musculoskeletal injuries are common and represent a large number of patients presenting to the Emergency Departments (EDs) [1]. Clavicle fractures constitute 2 - 4% of all fractures [2]. The clavicle has essential functions, primarily to stabilize the shoulder and allow full range of movement of the arm. Additionally, muscles attached to the clavicle contribute to a person’s physical appearance, protects vital neurovascular structures and support respiratory function. Clavicle fractures lead to a loss of these essential functions [3]. Management of clavicle fracture is straightforward, but it requires imaging for confirmation of diagnosis [4].

Radiography is traditionally used to diagnose bone fractures, but this can be time-consuming, increase waiting times and stay in the ED and result in radiation exposure. General use of ultrasonography (US) has increased in the ED in the last two decades. US has a wide range of use in ED (abdominal, cardiac emergencies, trauma, etc.) [5, 6]. Fractures exceptionally long bone fractures are well diagnosed by US [7, 8]. There were studies about diagnosing clavicle fractures in the pediatric population with US, and these studies showed US could accurately diagnose clavicle fractures [9, 10].

The use of US to diagnose clavicle fracture has several advantages compared to radiography. It could eliminate radiation exposure, expedite the diagnosis and decrease the length of stay in the ED.

Prior studies showed that US has high sensitivity and specificity in long bone fractures [11–13]. However, previous studies about use of US for the diagnosis of clavicle fractures have focused on specific patient populations such as children and have not addressed the general adult population [9, 14].

In this study, we aimed to discuss US diagnostic accuracy in adult patients with clavicle fractures compared to radiography.

2. Materials and method

This was a prospective study comparing the diagnostic accuracy of US versus radiography. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients. The study was enrolled between January 2016-September 2016.

All patients seen with shoulder trauma in the ED, who met inclusion and exclusion criteria, were included in the study. All non-pregnant patients over 18-year-old with written informed
consent were included in the study. Patients with altered mental status, multisystem trauma, intubated, hemodynamic unstable, with open shoulder wounds, or with a diagnosis before presenting to the ED were excluded from the study.

All patients were given standard analgesic treatment per protocol for trauma patients. After signing informed consent, the study investigator performed a physical examination, and patients suspected of clavicle fracture were enrolled.

All US scans were performed by the same experienced investigator. The investigator completed an US course on musculoskeletal ultrasonography and had 5-year experience with bedside US. A US device with a 7.5 MHz linear probe were used for the US. The clavicle was visualized from the sternal junction to the acromial junction. Clavicle fracture diagnosis was based on seeing cortical bone disruption on bone motion with the respiratory cycle. These US findings of clavicle fractures are consistent with prior studies [15, 16].

An Emergency Physician performed all the bedside US’s. All outcome measures of the study (including interpretation of US images) were also interpreted by EMP’s.

After completion of the US, patients proceeded to plain radiography consistent with the protocol for clavicle fractures (Fig. 2). The results of the plain radiography were analyzed by a separate physician who was blinded to the US results and recorded those patients with a clavicle fracture. The blinded physician also had five-year experience reading radiography and specialized in musculoskeletal radiography.

All data were collected and recorded in SPSS version 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical analysis was done by a clinician blinded to the patients. Data were used to calculate sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative likelihood ratios with 95% confidential intervals and positive and negative predictive values. A sample size of 96 was able to detect a 10% difference in AUCs with a significance level of 0.05 and 80% power. A final sample size of 99 patients was considered adequate to compensate of inconclusive tests.

3. Results

Ninety-nine patients were enrolled from January/2016 - September/2016. Over the study period, 145 patients were evaluated in the ED for shoulder trauma, 35 did not meet eligibility criteria, 110 were enrolled in the study, and 11 were excluded. The final study population was 99 patients.

The mean age was 45.53 (min = 18; max = 86; SD = 18.791) years and 72.7% were male. Among all patients, 42 clavicle fractures were detected via radiography, and 26 (62%) were seen in males. Fifty-seven patients had no clavicle fractures. Patients’ place of fracture and treatment methods of these patients summarized in Table 1.

Table 2 shows US images for patients who had no clavicle fractures on plain radiography. Three false-negative cases were recorded: these were fractures seen on plain radiographs that were not seen in the US. All these three false-negative cases were nonangulated non-displaced greenstick fractures, and the orthopedic surgeons recommended no treatment.

There were 12 nonangulated fractures successfully diagnosed by US and one false-positive. The false positive was likely due to superficial soft tissue artifacts. The patient was a heavy-weight lifter with a previous clavicle fracture. In plain radiography, callus tissue was seen in the trauma area,

FIGURE 1. Ultrasound images of fractured (A) and normal (B) clavicle.
Arrow point to region of cortical interruption on US.

FIGURE 2. Left mid-clavicular fracture on plain radiography.
which was diagnosed as a past fracture. The US’s sensitivity to radiographically detected fracture was 92.86% (95% CI, 80.52% to 98.5%), and the specificity was 98.25% (95% CI = 90.61% to 99.96%). The PPV was 97.5% (84.8% to 99.63%) and the NPV was 94.92% (95% CI = 86.23% to 98.23%); + LR, 52.93 (7.57 – 369.99); -LR, 0.07 (0.02 - 0.21).

4. Discussion

Bedside US has wide use in the ED, especially in skeletal fractures in adults. This study is the first to discuss the use of US in the diagnosis of clavicle fractures. In this study, we report that the US can accurately diagnose clavicle fractures in adult patients compared to radiography. In the pediatric literature, two studies in infants comprising 41 and 49 patients showed the US’s use in the diagnosis of clavicle fracture was comparable to radiographs. In both studies, the US was performed by radiologists, not ED physicians [17, 18].

In another study conducted in 103 children in the ED, US compared to radiographs, showed 95% sensitivity, 96% specificity, 95% PPV, and 96% NPV in diagnosing clavicle fracture. They reported two false positives and two false-negatives of missed hairline fractures. The limitation of this study was similar to our study. They have one physician who performed all the US scans that may limit the generalization of their results [9].

For medico-legal reasons, many physicians in the ED choose imaging methods to confirm clavicle fractures rather than relying purely on clinical judgment. The US shows a good alternative to radiography to satisfy these medico-legal concerns. In other studies, the US’s use in ED has shown to shorten the length of stay and lower costs. Also, the use of US avoids radiation exposure. We believe that the US should be the first diagnostic method to limit time and costs as well as radiation exposure.

Overall our results suggest that with a specificity 98.3% if the US is positive for a clavicle fracture and the patient has no deficits or gross deformity, a confirmatory radiograph is unnecessary. False-positive results lead to supportive care for the patients. Also, if the US is negative for fracture, there is no need for radiography because these are only non-displaced fractures that are misdiagnosed by the US. Treatment in either case is unchanged with a sling for 2 - 3 weeks.

Our study showed that formally trained and experienced EMP’s could accurately diagnose clavicle fractures with high accuracy at the bedside. However, we cannot generalize our results because a single individual performed all the US scans.

The use of US has several advantages for diagnosing bone fractures and also clavicle fractures. First of all, avoiding excessive radiation exposure in specific populations such as pregnant patients. Also, US use may ease taking images when it is difficult to position or transport the patient. Bedside US avoids the patient transport to the radiology unit and transfer the patients from the bed to the table and also avoids moving the injured body part. Rapidly diagnosing these patients will also relieve EDs from overcapacity.

Our study has several limitations; the main limitation in our study was that the gold standard of computerized tomography was not utilized. However, radiography is as accurate as computerized tomography for diagnosing clavicle fracture. For this reason, this was not an important limitation. This study was conducted at a single site by a small number of investigators, all of whom had prior experience with US.

This study was conducted at a single site by a small number of investigators, all of whom had prior experience with the US. For this reason, we can not generalize our results. Physicians were not blinded to the clinical information of the patients; this may cause an operator bias. The costs and speed of both imaging techniques were not compared. However, in our opinion, the US was faster and cheaper than radiography in most instances. All the data were recorded as fractures or no fracture. The place and the type of fracture were not noted.

In conclusion, this study shows that emergency physicians can accurately diagnose clavicle fractures in ED with bedside US. These findings may challenge the need for radiography for diagnosing clavicle fractures.
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