We are living in the fourth industrial revolution, characterised by the dominance of computers and technological advances including artificial intelligence (AI) and robotics [1]. Such developments are likely to have a profound impact on humanity, reforming our work environment and daily life.

Artificial intelligence refers to the simulation of human intelligence in machines [2]. Computers can be programmed to imitate neuronal activity and appear to think like humans or mimic their actions, with an attempt to find solutions to complex problems in a variety of scientific domains, including medicine. Such programs are able to make calculations with higher accuracy and speed, compared to humans, using large volumes of data. The term may also be applied to any machine that exhibits traits associated with a human mind such as learning, planning, programming, creativity and problem-solving. AI renders machines capable of interpreting their environment to act for the purpose of achieving a specific goal. Importantly, AI systems can be capable of adapting their behaviour (up to a point) to solve problems with relative autonomy, via analysis of previous actions and outcomes. Robots are machines with an abundance of sensors, that are properly designed to perceive the outer environment and to interact with it, finally executing a series of programmed actions [3].

Artificial intelligence and robotics may provide extremely powerful advances with multiple applications in various medical fields. The emphasis, for the moment, is on surgery and radiology, and with the first related literature reports having appeared at the end of the previous century [3]. In anaesthesia, however, their development was slower, and the first attempt in automation was the introduction of computerised pharmacokinetic model – driven continuous infusion pumps. These attempts resulted in the first target-controlled infusion (TCI) device for administering propofol.

More recently, research has demonstrated that AI may also be useful in Regional Anaesthesia (RA), by identifying key anatomical features and by facilitating Ultrasound–Guided Regional Anaesthesia (UGRA) [2, 4]. The initial challenge in UGRA is an understanding of the sono–anatomy, to acquire and interpret ultrasound images [2, 5]. This remains an under-explored area of research and is known to be imperfect amongst anaesthesiologists. While improvements in ultrasound technology provide greater image resolution, developments in AI can be helpful and may be employed to support the application of this technology to identify the salient sono–anatomy. In this regard, a field of AI called “computer vision” has received particular attention as it enables computers to interpret the visual world, most commonly using a technique called deep learning.

Artificial intelligence systems in RA are emerging [2, 6] Among them, the development of a deep learning–based system called ScanNav Anatomy Peripheral Nerve Block (Intelligent Ultrasound, Cardiff, UK) has recently received attention in literature [2–4]. This system uses deep learning to identify anatomical structures on B-mode ultrasound and applies a colour overlay to those structures in real time (as summarised below taken from Bowness et al, 2021) [5]. The labelling is achieved using a convolutional neural network, based on the U-Net architecture. Data (greyscale ultrasound images) that are entered, pass through a series of computational (neural) layers, with each layer extracting specific information. In the initial “contracting” path, each of the down−sampling layers applies a series of convolutional filters to extract image features, and then halves the resolution for the next layer. By this down−sampling, the AI machine can understand better what is present in the image, but it loses information about where some features are. In the subsequent “expanding” path, up−sampling layers apply further convolutional filters, doubling the resolution, until the final image is once again at the initial resolution. The up−sampling helps the network understand where the features are in the image. “Skip connections” facilitate the network to reuse information from higher layers, so that it can learn to finetune the details for the output segmentation (recognition of a specific anatomical structure/area and application of a colour overlay).

During development of the AI system ScanNav Anatomy PNB, a separate network was created for each anatomical area of interest (the region scanned for each block). Ultrasound videos for each area were allocated at random to training (90%) or testing (10%), with training data for a region comprising of pairs of images. In each pair, the first element is an unmodified still frame image and the second one a manually segmented colour overlay corresponding to a specific view. As still frame image pairs were presented, the network learned to make associations between the area of the colour overlay and the area on the underlying B-mode ultrasound image, and thus learned to recreate the desired output colour overlay. The 10% of data reserved for testing was used to evaluate the network’s performance after training. This is a supervised machine learning process, in which, learning is directed by human input at each stage. A typical training set consisted of 115.000 pairs of still frame images for each network, whereas over 800.000 images were finally labelled, evaluated and utilised.

The device has received approval for clinical use by the regulatory authorities in Europe and is currently being used in hospitals.
reviewed by FDA in the USA. In addition, an objective and quantitative assessment of the system is currently taking place, to frame its exact impact on the spectrum of training and clinical practice for both experts and non-experts anaesthesiologists. The goal is to highlight AI position in current clinical practice, and to focus on its future role in education and training. AI technology in RA indeed has limitations and inaccuracies, but automated medical image interpretation systems already exist, with the future potential to surpass human performance in such a process.

Regarding application of robotics in RA, some preliminary studies have been published. In this context, researchers developed a robotic needle driver for spinal blocks (nerve roots and facet blocks). Their equipment consisted of a robotic needle driver mounted on an interventional table and a joystick located in a control panel separated from the robot. A robot controller with safety features was installed in a computer and connected to the robot by cables. After application in cadavers and utilisation in humans they concluded that robotic spinal blocks are as feasible as manual blocks. Subsequently, other researchers developed a more general device for the guidance of soft-tissue injections, as RA is [3].

Similarly, other researchers established a control algorithm given a predetermined needle trajectory. Then, a robotic arm (C-Arm) drove a flexible, spinal needle toward the target (an animal specimen) and performed the puncture under a closed-loop control from a software guided by real-time X-ray images. This system aimed to create a pathway for needle driving given the initial coordinates and to optimise the plan for minimal pressure on tissues, also taking into account the possible obstacles. Other applications of robotics to RA have focused on peripheral nerve blocks, for example, use of the Da Vinci Surgical System to perform a robotically assisted ultrasound-guided nerve block. Researchers proved that robotically assisted RA is feasible; however, the cost and number of personnel needed to perform robotic RA is not practical currently. A system consisting of equipment specifically designed to perform robot-assisted UGRA is the Magellan, designed and developed at the McGill University in Canada. The Magellan has four components: a standard nerve block needle and a syringe mounted via a custom clamp to a robotic arm (JACO arm, Kinova, Canada), an ultrasound machine, a joystick (Thrust Master, New York, USA), and a control software. This system was designed to work with any ultrasound machine with a video output. The ultrasound video output is captured and displayed on the user interface of the control software. The system is provided with safety features which pose no risk for patients in the case of errors or failure. However, there is a potential danger of overreliance on robotic assistance during training. Although variability may be reduced among trainees, overall competence may be inadequate. Such deskilling would expose anaesthetists during emergencies and equipment failure. Therefore, it is important to carefully design robotic interventions in training as a feedback system to aid and not supersede the learning process.

In conclusion, the potential for utilisation of AI and robotics in UGRA is yet to be determined. Few applications are currently employed in daily practice and with limited scope. However, anatomical knowledge and ultrasound image interpretation are of paramount importance in UGRA, but the human performance and teaching of both are known to be fallible. Therefore robust, reliable AI and robotic technologies could support clinicians to optimise performance, increase uptake of and standardise practice in UGRA. They will likely offer innovative solutions to change service provision and enhance education in the future. Despite their limitations, such innovative modalities should not be perceived with scepticism; rather, they should be embraced as an opportunity for the promotion of the RA subspecialty in a modern, progressive manner.
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