# **ORIGINAL RESEARCH**



# Relationship among anxiety, depression, social and self-efficacy in night-shift nurses

Hui-Xiu Liao<sup>1</sup>, Hai Zou<sup>2</sup>, Jie Pang<sup>3,</sup>\*, Hua-Hua Liu<sup>4,</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Xinyu People's Hospital, 338025 Xinyu, Jiangxi, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Anaesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, 200032 Shanghai, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Zhejiang Provincial People's Hospital, Affiliated People's Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College, 310014 Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Respiratory, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, 200032 Shanghai, China

\*Correspondence pangjiehz@163.com

(Jie Pang); 18116207955@163.com (HuaHua Liu)

#### Abstract

Night shift disturbs normal circadian rhythm, thus leads to several psychological problems. We aim to investigate the anxiety, depression, social support and self-efficacy of night-shift nurses compared with day-shift nurses and explore the association between emotional status and social support as well as the combined influence of social support and self-efficacy on emotional status. We conducted this quantitative comparative study in a hospital from January 1, 2019 to August 31, 2020, using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), Perceived Social Support Scale (PSSS), and General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES). The HADS-A and HADS-D scores were higher for the nightshift nurses than for the day-shift nurses (7.38  $\pm$  3.228 vs. 5.81  $\pm$  3.180 and 6.79  $\pm$ 3.444 vs. 5.43  $\pm$  3.155, respectively, P < 0.01). The family support, friend support, other support and total social support scores were lower for the night-shift nurses than for the day-shift nurses. In both groups, these scores were lower for nurses with suspected anxiety than for those without anxiety ( $61.16 \pm 12.208$  vs.  $66.35 \pm 9.976$ , P < 0.01) and were lower for nurses with suspected depression than for those without depression (59.91  $\pm$  11.606 vs. 66.77  $\pm$  10.320, P < 0.01). The item scores, total scores and total mean score for the night-shift nurses were significantly lower than those for the day-shift nurses (P < 0.01). Social support and self-efficacy had noticeable regression effects on nurses' anxiety and depression, and both variables had significant negative effects on anxiety and depression. This study suggests that night-shift nurses may have higher anxiety and depression than day-shift nurses. Nurses with suspected anxiety and depression nurses may have lower social support than those without anxiety and depression.

#### **Keywords**

Nurses; Anxiety; Depression; Social support; Self-efficacy

# 1. Introduction

Night-shift nurses assume enormous pressure both mentally and physically as a result of special occupational requests. It has been shown that nightwork could induce depression [1]. Sircar *et al.* [2] investigated whether long-term nightwork could interrupt the circadian rhythm and induce anxiety and depression. Letvak *et al.* [3] investigated that night-shift nurses have higher depression prevalence and symptoms than nation norms.

In China, due to more expectation from public and more clinical and experimental tasks, nurses were all under lots of pressure. Also, violence against nurses made it more complicated. According to a survey in a province in northeast China, 7.8% of the nurses reported physically violent experiences, while 71.9% reported non-physically violent experiences [4]. Besides, due to a smaller number of night-shift nurses, they always have to care about more patients per person than dayshift nurses, which means they have to pay more attention to what happened in the inpatient area. Thus, it's important for us to care about nurses' mental health, especially those who went night-shift.

However, most studies on anxiety and depression of nurse have only discussed one influencing factor of anxiety and depression; while the systemic conditions of anxiety, depression, social support and self-efficacy are still unknown. In this study, we investigated the anxiety, depression, social support and self-efficacy conditions of night-shift and day-shift nurses, and studied the association between emotional status and social support, as well as the combined influence of social support and self-efficacy on emotional status.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1 Study population

This study was a quantitative comparative research using a cross-sectional descriptive design. Six qualitative open-ended questions were used to compliment the quantitative responses.

The study included all night shift nurses. Night shifts were defined as regular working hours between 7:00 PM and 7:00

AM including those starting at 3:00 PM. Besides, day shifts meant regular working hours from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM. The population of relevance to this study is nurses employed in hospital settings in the affiliated hospital of Fudan University. 506 nurses were included in the final analysis. All participants were informed consent prior to the study.

### 2.2 Data collection

The 12-item PSSS is used to measure perceived support from family, friends, and others. Each item ranges from 1 ("definitely disagree") to 7 ("definitely agree") and thus the total score ranges from 12 to 84 by adding all item scores together. A higher score indicates more social support.

The HADS is a 14-tem self-report screening instrument to measure the symptom domains of anxiety and depression (seven items each). Nurses respond to each item on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 to 3. The scores are summed to form a total score, anxiety score, and depression score.

The General Self-Efficacy Scale (GSES) is a common 10items scale used to evaluate a person's self-efficacy. Each item has four answers, which gives a score from 1 to 4. The total score is the sum of every items' score and divided by 10. Higher score usually means higher self-efficacy. The questionnaire is a reliable tool to measure nurses' general selfefficacy, and the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was 0.871.

The Index of Well-being is structured using a 7-point Likerttype scale, where a value of 1 was equated to "strongly disagree" and a value of 7 was equated to "strongly agree", of which 4 was the midpoint.

The Back Depression Inventory (BDI) is a 13-item selfreport questionnaire. Its intended use is with nurses, and administration time is short, at approximately 5 to 10 min. According to BDI assesses negative thoughts, feelings of sadness, and the physiological symptoms of depression. Participants rate each of 13 statements on a 4-point scale of never, sometimes, often, and always, indicating how applicable each statement is to them. All items are phrased in a consistent negative valence, with higher scores reflecting greater depressive symptoms; summated scores range from 0 to 60.

The BAI is a measure of prolonged state anxiety that has respondents rating the severity of each of 21 anxiety symptoms over the past week on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (Not at all) to 3 (Severely—I could barely stand it). It has proven adequately reliable and has correlated positively with other measures of anxiety and subjective distress in both clinical populations and nonclinical populations.

# 2.3 Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted using the R environment. General linear models, nurses' product moment correlation coefficients, and  $\chi^2$  tests of independence were used to analyze sample characteristics and raw scores. Where appropriate,  $\chi^2$  tests of independence were followed up with adjusted standardized residuals (ASR), which represent the degree of difference between the observed cell frequency and the expected frequency under the null hypothesis in Z-score units. Absolute ASR values N2 were considered statistically significant.

The data were tabulated to find the mean, Standard Devia-

tion (SD), frequency, and percentages. The final scores were used to depict the responses of nurses.

## 3. Results

The characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the night-shift nurses was younger than that of the day-shift nurses, but the night-shift nurses had more years of education than the day-shift nurses. In addition, more night-shift nurses had a monthly salary greater than 3 thousand Renminbi (RMB), while fewer night-shift nurses lived in the city or had children (Table 1).

The HADS-A and HADS-D scores were higher for the night-shift group than for the day-shift group, but the family support, friend support, other support and total social support scores were lower for the night-shift group (Table 2).

As for the GSES scores, night-shift nurses' scores showed significant decrease in most items compared to day-shift nurses. So, we can see total scores and total mean score were all lower for the night-shift group than for the day-shift group (Table 3).

The PSSS scores were compared between nurses with and without suspected anxiety and depression. The nurses were divided into those with and without suspected anxiety and depression based on their HADS-A and HADS-D scores. The results indicated that the nurses with suspected anxiety or depression had lower family support, friend support, other support, total social support and self-efficacy scores than those without suspected anxiety or depression (Tables 4,5).

The results of the univariate analysis of the relationships of the PSSS and GSES scores with anxiety and depression are shown in Table 6. The analysis indicated that anxiety and depression had significant negative correlations with social support and self-efficacy.

To discuss the influence of social support and self-efficacy on anxiety and depression, we considered social support and self-efficacy to be the independent variables and the anxiety and depression total scores to be the dependent variables (Tables 7,8). As shown in Tables 7,8, social support and self-efficacy had significant negative effects on anxiety and depression. We obtained the following regression equations:

Anxiety =  $15.280 - 0.09 \times \text{social support} - 0.112 \times \text{self-efficacy};$ 

Depression =  $16.702 - 0.107 \times \text{social support} - 0.148 \times \text{self-efficacy.}$ 

# 4. Discussion

Our study showed that anxiety and depression scores were higher among night-shift nurses; while both the night-shift nurses and day-shift nurses had some anxiety and depression. The levels of anxiety and depression were higher among the night-shift nurses. This finding is consistent with those of previous studies [5–9]. In current hospital conditions, nurses not only must provide laborious nursing services but also experience stress from patients and their families. In addition, night-shift work induces abnormalities in the biologic clock, resulting in a series of disturbances to their stability, eventually affecting the health of night-shift nurses and making them more

| Variable                | Night-shift group | Day-shift group | $T \text{ value}/\chi^2$ |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
|                         | (n = 307)         | (n = 199)       |                          |
| Mean age (years)        | $25.49 \pm 4.47$  | $36.14\pm9.87$  | -16.48**                 |
| Mean years of education | $14.55\pm2.03$    | $14.41\pm2.34$  | 0.75                     |
| Monthly salary (¥)      |                   |                 | 34.51**                  |
| $\leq 1000$             | 6 (2)             | 5 (3)           |                          |
| 1001-3000               | 90 (29)           | 102 (51)        |                          |
| 3001-5000               | 102 (33)          | 61 (31)         |                          |
| 5001-10,000             | 103 (34)          | 27 (14)         |                          |
| >10,000                 | 6 (2)             | 4 (2)           |                          |
| Place of residence      |                   |                 | 11.38**                  |
| City                    | 260 (85)          | 188 (94)        |                          |
| Country                 | 47 (15)           | 11 (6)          |                          |
| Children                |                   |                 | 155.35**                 |
| Yes                     | 98 (32)           | 176 (88)        |                          |
| No                      | 209 (68)          | 23 (12)         |                          |
|                         |                   |                 |                          |

TABLE 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants and the differences between the two groups (n = 506).

*Note:* \*\**P* < 0.01.

| TABLE 2. | Comparison    | of the scale scores  | between the two   | groups   |
|----------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------|
|          | 0011100110011 | or the sector sector | Seen een ene en o | 5-0-0-0- |

| Variable             | Night-shift group | Day-shift group  | T value |
|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|
|                      | (n = 307)         | (n = 199)        |         |
| HADS-A               | $7.38\pm3.228$    | $5.81\pm3.180$   | 5.37**  |
| HADS-D               | $6.79\pm3.444$    | $5.43\pm3.155$   | 4.49**  |
| Family support       | $22.02\pm4.559$   | $23.08\pm4.105$  | -2.73** |
| Friend support       | $21.04\pm4.265$   | $21.85\pm3.655$  | -2.21*  |
| Other support        | $20.81\pm4.260$   | $21.53\pm3.923$  | -1.92   |
| Total social support | $63.86\pm11.383$  | $66.46\pm10.153$ | -2.61** |
|                      |                   |                  |         |

*Notes:* \**P* < 0.05; \*\**P* < 0.01.

TABLE 3. Comparison of the GSES scores.

|                  | 1                 |                                 |
|------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Item             | Night-shift group | Day-shift group                 |
|                  | (n = 307)         | (n = 199)                       |
| 1                | $2.75\pm0.67$     | $2.92\pm0.66^{\ast\ast}$        |
| 2                | $2.19\pm0.76$     | $2.21\pm0.79$                   |
| 3                | $1.79\pm0.82$     | $1.97\pm0.86^{*}$               |
| 4                | $2.23\pm0.79$     | $2.50\pm0.80^{\ast\ast}$        |
| 5                | $2.12\pm0.78$     | $2.37\pm0.79^{**}$              |
| 6                | $2.67\pm0.80$     | $2.86\pm0.79^{**}$              |
| 7                | $2.40\pm0.82$     | $2.79\pm0.75^{\ast\ast}$        |
| 8                | $2.25\pm0.78$     | $2.67 \pm 0.79^{**}$            |
| 9                | $2.42\pm073$      | $2.75 \pm 0.78^{**}$            |
| 10               | $2.08\pm0.81$     | $2.33\pm0.82^{\boldsymbol{**}}$ |
| Total score      | $22.89 \pm 5.65$  | $25.36 \pm 5.59 **$             |
| Total mean score | $2.29\pm0.57$     | $2.54\pm0.56^{**}$              |
|                  |                   |                                 |

*Notes:* \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

likely to experience anxiety and depression [10].

However, recent researches had found controversial results in anxiety and depression. Ulas *et al.* [11] found no significant difference (P > 0.5) between day shift and night shift nurses by using State-Trait Anxiety Inventory index. A Norwegian cross-sectional study also discovered that anxiety and depression were not associated with night work [12]. Though we discovered different results, we had to make it clear that psychological health is affected by lots of variables, especially social circumstances, which varies enormously between China and other developed countries. Thus it could explain the differences between our discoveries and others.

In our study, the level of social support among nurses with depression and anxiety was lower than that among nurses without anxiety or depression. This finding indicated that the level of psychological health was positively correlated with social support and that social support had a protective effect on nurses' psychological health. The better the social support was, the better the nurses' psychological health. The incidence rate of anxiety and depression in nurses could be decreased, or the severity could be alleviated. Kroenke *et al.* [13] researched

| Social support       | Night-shift group |                 |         | Day-shift group   |                 |         |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                      | Suspected anxiety | No anxiety      | T value | Suspected anxiety | No anxiety      | T value |
|                      | (n = 147)         | (n = 160)       |         | (n = 63)          | (n = 136)       |         |
| Family support       | $21.12\pm4.949$   | $22.84\pm4.012$ | 3.32**  | $21.30\pm4.737$   | $23.90\pm3.500$ | 3.90**  |
| Friend support       | $20.14\pm4.566$   | $21.86\pm3.799$ | 3.60**  | $20.67\pm3.565$   | $22.40\pm3.578$ | 3.18**  |
| Other support        | $19.89\pm4.460$   | $21.65\pm3.895$ | 3.69**  | $19.95\pm4.136$   | $22.26\pm3.609$ | 4.00**  |
| Total social support | $61.16\pm12.208$  | $66.35\pm9.976$ | 4.10**  | $61.92\pm10.501$  | $68.56\pm9.300$ | 4.49**  |
| Self-efficacy        | $21.89\pm5.596$   | $23.81\pm5.567$ | 3.01**  | $24.03\pm5.218$   | $25.98\pm5.669$ | 2.31*   |
|                      |                   |                 |         |                   |                 |         |

TABLE 4. Comparison of the PSSS scores between nurses with and without suspected anxiety.

*Notes:* \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

| TABLE 5. Comparison of | of the PSSS | scores between | nurses with and | without depression |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|

| Social support       | Night-shift group    |                  |         | Day-shift group      |                 |         |
|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|
|                      | Suspected depression | No depression    | T value | Suspected depression | No depression   | T value |
|                      | (n = 130)            | (n = 177)        |         | (n = 52)             | (n = 147)       |         |
| Family support       | $20.92\pm4.648$      | $22.82\pm4.333$  | 3.67**  | $21.10\pm4.721$      | $23.43\pm3.821$ | 2.02*   |
| Friend support       | $19.83\pm4.436$      | $21.93\pm3.915$  | 4.38**  | $21.17\pm3.445$      | $22.09\pm3.708$ | 1.56    |
| Other support        | $19.15\pm4.352$      | $22.02\pm3.764$  | 6.17**  | $20.13\pm3.985$      | $22.02\pm3.793$ | 3.04**  |
| Total social support | $59.91 \pm 11.606$   | $66.77\pm10.320$ | 5.46**  | $63.40\pm10.447$     | $67.54\pm9.857$ | 2.56*   |
| Self-efficacy        | $21.24\pm5.484$      | $24.11\pm5.481$  | 4.53**  | $23.46\pm5.359$      | $26.03\pm5.534$ | 2.91**  |

*Notes:* \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01.

**TABLE 6.** Univariate analysis of the relationship of thePSSS and GSES scores with anxiety and depression.

|                                  | Social support | Self-efficacy |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Anxiety                          | -0.366**       | -0.297**      |  |  |  |
| Depression                       | -0.429**       | -0.367**      |  |  |  |
| <i>Note:</i> ** <i>P</i> < 0.01. |                |               |  |  |  |

the relationship between social support and survival in women with breast cancer. They found that the more isolated from society a patient was, the higher the risk of death. This finding indicated that social support was an important factor in maintaining physical and mental health. In Hill's study [14], low social support was found to be an independent predictive factor. In conclusion, good social support relieves the anxiety and depression of nurses, as they gain support from family, friends and colleagues.

In our study, we found that each item score, the total scores and the mean total self-efficacy scores were lower for the night-shift nurses than for the day-shift nurses. Agard and Maindal [15] found that night-shift nurses in intensive care units faced important and challenging problems. Compared with day-shift nurses, night-shift nurses had more work and stress and less self-confidence. This finding was similar to those of our study. Paukert *et al.* [16] studied the roles of social support and self-efficacy in the impact of physical health on depression and anxiety symptoms in older adults. They found that decreases in anxiety and depression were related to social support and self-efficacy. In Muris's [17] study, self-efficacy had an important effect on decreased anxiety and depression. Also, patient's death is common in nurses' daily clinical practice and will bring more pressure to the nurses in charge. Zheng et al. [18] discovered that there was a positive relationship between coping with death and death efficacy, a negative correlation between death self-efficacy and death anxiety in new graduate nurses Besides, as partners in clinical practice, Ding et al. [19] found that medical residents' depression was negatively correlated with self-efficacy. In our study, we tried to determine the relationship between anxiety and depression and social support and self-efficacy in nurses. This finding indicated that social support and selfefficacy had significant negative correlations with anxiety and depression among nurses, especially among night-shift nurses, which is in consistency of previous researches. As shown by a regression analysis, social support and self-efficacy had significant negative effects on anxiety and depression. The regression equations were as follows: anxiety = 15.280 - 0.09 $\times$  social support – 0.112  $\times$  self-efficacy and depression =  $16.702 - 0.107 \times \text{social support} - 0.148 \times \text{self-efficacy}.$ 

Self-efficacy is one's belief in one's ability to succeed in specific situations. One's self-efficacy can play a major role in how one approaches goals, tasks, and challenges [20]. The higher the nurses' self-efficacy was, the more confident they were; in addition, the more clearly they could identify their sources of stress in their surroundings and disadvantageous factors, the better they could decrease their anxiety and depression, resulting in increased positivity at work. Recent studies have shown that education has positive effects on self-efficacy [21]. Therefore, we suggest that hospitals should organize communications for nursing services. They should allow nurses to receive continuous education in planning for

| TABLE 7. Logistic regression analysis of the relationship of the risis and OSES scores with anxiety. |                      |                      |             |         |                         |        |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|--------|
| Variables  | Multiple correlation | Coefficient of       | Constant, B | F value | Standardized regression |        |
|  | coefficient, R       | determination, $R^2$ | $R^2$       | 1       | coefficient             |        |
| Social support   | 0.41                 | 0.169                | <u> </u>    | -0.090  |                         |        |
| Self-efficacy  | 0.41                 | 0.168                | 0.108 1     | 15.280  | 0 30.74                 | -0.112 |
|  |                      |                      |             |         |                         |        |

TABLE 7 Logistic regression analysis of the relationship of the DSSS and CSES scores with anyioty

*Note:* \*\**P* < 0.01.

TABLE 8. Logistic regression analysis of the relationship of the PSSS and GSES scores with depression.

| Variables      | Multiple correlation<br>coefficient, R | Coefficient of determination, $R^2$ | Constant, B | F value | Standardized regression coefficient |
|----------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| Social support | 0.40                                   | 0.240                               | 16 702      | 79.27** | -0.107                              |
| Self-efficacy  | 0.49                                   | 0.240                               | 10.702      |         | -0.148                              |
| N . **D . 0.   | ٦ <i>१</i>                             |                                     |             |         |                                     |

*Note:* \*\**P* < 0.01.

increased self-efficacy. In addition, night-shift nurses should seek social support and communicate with their families, friends, colleagues or leaders about problems and stress at work; they should identify and eliminate sources of anxiety and depression and seek more social support [22]. There are several limitations in our study. Firstly, the sample size in our study is small. Secondly, these observations in our study were simply listed, yet we neither analyzed the probable mechanism nor made the validation. Thirdly, it was a retrospective study, and further prospective studies with a larger sample size are desirable in order to verify the above results.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: HXL; HZ; Administrative support: JP; Provision of study materials: HZ; Collection and assembly of data: HZ; HHL; Data analysis and interpretation: HZ; HHL; Manuscript writing: HXL; Final approval of manuscript: HZ; JP.

# ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

All participants were informed consent prior to the study. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Huashan Hospital, Fudan University (KY-02045).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Not applicable.

#### FUNDING

This research received no external funding.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

Adeniran R, Healy D, Sharp H, Williams JMG, Minors D, Waterhouse JM. Interpersonal sensitivity predicts depressive symptom response to the circadian rhythm disruption of nightwork. Psychological Medicine. 1996; 26: 1211–1221.

- [2] Sircar BK, Saha MR, Deb BC, Singh PK, Pal SC. Effectiveness of oral rehydration salt solution (ORS) in reduction of death during cholera epidemic. Indian Journal of Public Health. 1990; 34: 68–70.
- [3] Letvak S, Ruhm CJ, McCoy T. Depression in hospital-employed nurses. Clinical Nurse Specialist CNS. 2012; 26: 177–182.
- [4] Jiao M, Ning N, Li Y, Gao L, Cui Y, Sun H, et al. Workplace violence against nurses in Chinese hospitals: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open. 2015; 5: e006719.
- [5] de Leo D, Magni G, Vallerini A. Anxiety and depression in general and psychiatric nurses: a comparison. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 1982; 19: 173–175.
- [6] Sawatzky JV. Stress in critical care nurses: Actual and perceived. Heart & Lung. 1996; 25: 409–417.
- [7] Baba VV, Galperin BL, Lituchy TR. Occupational mental health: a study of work-related depression among nurses in the Caribbean. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 1999; 36: 163–169.
- [8] Gao Y, Pan B, Sun W, Wu H, Wang J, Wang L. Anxiety symptoms among Chinese nurses and the associated factors: a cross sectional study. BMC Psychiatry. 2012; 12: 141.
- [9] Dai C, Qiu H, Huang Q, Hu P, Hong X, Tu J, et al. The effect of night shift on sleep quality and depressive symptoms among Chinese nurses. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment. 2019; 15: 435–440.
- <sup>[10]</sup> Kuhn G. Circadian rhythm, shift work, and emergency medicine. Annals of Emergency Medicine. 2001; 37: 88–98.
- <sup>[11]</sup> Ulas T, Buyukhatipoglu H, Kirhan I, Dal MS, Eren MA, Hazar A, *et al.* The effect of day and night shifts on oxidative stress and anxiety symptoms of the nurses. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences. 2012; 16: 594–599.
- [12] Øyane NMF, Pallesen S, Moen BE, Akerstedt T, Bjorvatn B. Associations between night work and anxiety, depression, insomnia, sleepiness and fatigue in a sample of Norwegian nurses. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8: e70228.
- [13] Kroenke CH, Kubzansky LD, Schernhammer ES, Holmes MD, Kawachi I. Social networks, social support, and survival after breast cancer diagnosis. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2006; 24: 1105–1111.
- [14] Hill J, Holcombe C, Clark L, Boothby MRK, Hincks A, Fisher J, et al. Predictors of onset of depression and anxiety in the year after diagnosis of breast cancer. Psychological Medicine. 2011; 41: 1429–1436.
- [15] Agard AS, Maindal HT. Interacting with relatives in intensive care unit. Nurses' perceptions of a challenging task. Nursing in Critical Care. 2009; 14: 264–272.
- [16] Paukert AL, Pettit JW, Kunik ME, Wilson N, Novy DM, Rhoades HM, et al. The roles of social support and self-efficacy in physical health's impact on depressive and anxiety symptoms in older adults. Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings. 2010; 17: 387–400.
- [17] Muris P. Relationships between self-efficacy and symptoms of anxiety disorders and depression in a normal adolescent sample. Personality and Individual Differences. 2002; 32: 337–348.

- [18] Zheng R, Bloomer MJ, Guo Q, Lee SF. New graduate nurses' coping with death and the relationship with death self-efficacy and death anxiety: a multicentre cross-sectional study. Journal of Advanced Nursing. 2021; 77: 795–804.
- <sup>[19]</sup> Ding Y, Huang L, Feng C, Yang M, Zhang Y. Depression and selfefficacy in medical residents. Journal of Central South University. Medical Sciences. 2017; 42: 83–87. (In Chinese)
- [20] Lev EL. Bandura's theory of self-efficacy: applications to oncology. Scholarly Inquiry for Nursing Practice. 1997; 11: 21–43.
- [21] Bandura A, Adams NE, Beyer J. Cognitive processes mediating behavioral change. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. 1977; 35: 125–139.
- [22] van der Heijden BIJM, Kümmerling A, van Dam K, van der Schoot E, Estryn-Béhar M, Hasselhorn HM. The impact of social support upon intention to leave among female nurses in Europe: Secondary analysis of data from the next survey. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2010; 47: 434–445.

How to cite this article: Hui-Xiu Liao, Hai Zou, Jie Pang, Hua-Hua Liu. Relationship among anxiety, depression, social and selfefficacy in night-shift nurses. Signa Vitae. 2023; 19(1): 117-122. doi: 10.22514/sv.2021.135.