

CORRECTION



Correction: PRISMA-7 (for frailty assessment) and SARC-F (for evaluation of sarcopenia risk) in predicting emergency department readmission and mortality

Mehmet Cagri Goktekin^{1,*}, Evrim Gul¹, Feyza Aksu²

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, Firat University, 23200 Elazig, Turkey

²Department of Anatomy, School of Medicine, Firat University, 23200 Elazig, Turkey

*Correspondence

mc.goktekin@firat.edu.tr (Mehmet Cagri Goktekin)

In the originally published article [1] (DOI: 10.22514/sv.2025.012), post-publication review by the Editorial Office identified a labeling error in Table 2 and an ambiguous statement in the Discussion section.

In collaboration with the authors, the following corrections have been made in this Correction:

1. Table 2—subheading error

The subheadings “Exitus” and “No re-admission to the emergency department” were mistakenly interchanged under the “Evaluation after 3 months” and “Evaluation after 6 months” sections. This appears to have occurred inadvertently during the translation from Turkish to English.

The numerical values and statistical results remain unchanged. The corrected Table 2 is provided below:

2. Discussion section—Ambiguous statement

The following sentence has been clarified for accuracy in this Correction:

Original text: “The mortality rate was higher in those who were readmitted to the ED than those who did not (10.8% vs.

40.8%, $p < 0.001$).”

Corrected text: “The mortality rate was higher in patients requiring re-admission to ED (40.8%) vs. those without (10.8%) ($p < 0.001$).”

The authors confirm that these corrections apply solely to Table 2 and the Discussion section. The study design, methods, other data, and conclusions presented in the published article remain unchanged and are unaffected by these corrections.

The authors sincerely apologize for these inaccuracies and any inconvenience caused. This Correction has been approved by the Editor-in-Chief. The original article PDF remains available as published and has not been altered. Readers are advised to refer to this Correction for the corrected Table 2 and the clarified statement in the Discussion section.

REFERENCES

- [1] Goktekin MC, Gul E, Aksu F. PRISMA-7 (for frailty assessment) and SARC-F (for evaluation of sarcopenia risk) in predicting emergency department readmission and mortality. *Signa Vitae*. 2025; 21: 97–105.

TABLE 2. Comparisons by sarcopenia and frailty risk status.

Parameters	Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	Group 4	p-value
Gender					
- Male	18 (64.3)	11 (57.9)	7 (50.0)	38 (42.7)	0.201
- Female	10 (35.7)	8 (42.1)	7 (50.0)	51 (57.3)	
Complaints					
- General condition disorder	1 (3.6)	2 (10.5)	0 (0.0)	10 (11.2)	0.090
- Infectious causes	4 (14.3)	3 (15.8)	1 (7.1)	5 (5.6)	
- Cardiovascular causes	15 (53.6)	3 (15.8)	5 (35.7)	17 (19.1)	
- Pulmonary causes	1 (3.6)	2 (10.5)	2 (14.3)	15 (16.9)	
- Gastrointestinal causes	3 (10.7)	2 (10.5)	1 (7.1)	16 (18.0)	
- Other	2 (7.1)	5 (26.3)	2 (14.3)	18 (20.2)	
- Neurological causes	2 (7.1)	2 (10.5)	3 (21.4)	8 (9.0)	
Comorbidities					
- Asthma	1 (3.6)	1 (5.3)	5 (35.7)	20 (22.5)	0.017
- CHD-CHF	12 (42.9)	12 (63.2)	3 (21.4)	50 (56.2)	0.052
- DM	6 (21.4)	8 (42.1)	0 (0.0)	29 (32.6)	0.034
- HT	16 (57.1)	12 (63.2)	9 (64.3)	46 (51.7)	0.696
- Dementia	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (6.7)	0.232
- Malignancy	1 (3.6)	3 (15.8)	2 (14.3)	9 (10.1)	0.516
Outcome					
- Discharged	17 (60.7)	7 (36.8)	10 (71.4)	40 (44.9)	0.090
- Exitus	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (3.4)	
- Transfer to service	7 (25.0)	10 (52.6)	3 (21.4)	33 (37.1)	
- Transfer to intensive care	4 (14.3)	2 (10.5)	1 (7.1)	13 (14.6)	
Evaluation after 1 month					
- Re-admission to the emergency department	5 (17.9)	9 (47.4)	6 (42.9)	26 (29.2)	0.001
- No re-admission to the emergency department	22 (78.6)	10 (52.6)	7 (50.0)	38 (42.7)	
- Exitus	1 (3.6)	0 (0.0)	1 (7.1)	25 (28.1)	
Evaluation after 3 months					
- Re-application to the emergency department	9 (33.3)	7 (36.8)	2 (15.4)	19 (29.7)	0.253
- Exitus	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	6 (9.4)	
- No re-admission to the emergency department	18 (66.7)	12 (63.2)	11 (84.6)	39 (60.9)	
Evaluation after 6 months					
- Re-application to the emergency department	11 (40.7)	5 (26.3)	4 (30.8)	13 (22.4)	0.427
- Exitus	0 (0.0)	1 (5.3)	0 (0.0)	5 (8.6)	
- No re-admission to the emergency department	16 (59.3)	13 (68.4)	9 (69.2)	40 (69.0)	
Re-admission to the emergency department during the follow-up process	15 (53.6)	12 (63.2)	9 (64.3)	38 (42.7)	0.215
Latest status at the end of follow-up					
- Survivor	27 (96.4)	18 (94.7)	13 (92.9)	53 (59.6)	<0.001
- Exitus	1 (3.6)	1 (5.3)	1 (7.1)	36 (40.4)	
Age, yr, mean $\pm$ SD	74 $\pm$ 5	75 $\pm$ 6	80 $\pm$ 9	80 $\pm$ 8	<0.001
Number of medicines, median (min.–max.)	4 (0–14)	4 (1–10)	4 (1–12)	5 (0–14)	0.004
PRISMA-7 score, median (min.–max.)	2 (1–2)	2 (1–2)	4 (3–6)	5 (3–7)	<0.001
SARC-F score, median (min.–max.)	0 (0–3)	6 (4–9)	3 (0–3)	8 (4–10)	<0.001

CHD: Coronary Heart Disease; CHF: Chronic Heart Failure; DM: Diabetes Mellitus; HT: Hypertension; PRISMA-7: Program on Research for Integrating Services for the Maintenance of Autonomy 7 item questionnaire; SARC-F: A Simple Questionnaire to Rapidly Diagnose Sarcopenia; SD: Standard Deviation; min.: Minimum; max.: Maximum.